Join Zoom Meeting
https://us02web.zoom.us/j/83309576581?pwd=ipCe48UgtzuaNKnhWtmaWpOYLP1JKQ.1

Meeting ID: 833 0957 6581
Passcode: 215917

TOWN OF GRANBY
Capital Program Priority Advisory Committee (CPPAC)
Regular Meeting Agenda
Monday, January 26, 2026 – 5 p.m.

Town Hall Meeting Room

1. Pledge Of Allegiance
2. Approval Of CPPAC Regular Meeting Minutes - January 5, 2026

   Documents:

   CPPAC MINUTES 010526.PDF

3. Public Comment
4. Communications
5. New Business

   5.I. Board Of Education Presentation - Capital Priorities

   Documents:

   BOE DISCUSSION FOR CPPAC 1.26.26.PDF

   5.I.i. Stadium Field And High School Track

   5.I.ii. Baseball And Softball Fields

   5.I.iii. Middle School

   5.II. Town Presentation - Capital Priorities

   5.II.i. Library Fundraising And Grants

   Documents:

   CPPAC 1.26.26 QA GRANTS AND FUNDRAISING.PDF

6. Bonding Capacity Discussion

   Documents:

   BONDING CAPACITY.PDF

7. Adjournment

The next regular meeting of the Town of Granby CPPAC is scheduled for Monday, February 2, 2026, at 5 p.m. in the Town Hall Meeting Room.

TOWN OF GRANBY
CAPITAL PROGRAM PRIORITY ADVISORY COMMITTEE
MEETING MINUTES
JANUARY 5, 2026

MEMBERS PRESENT: Kevin Hobson, Chairman; John Adams, Margaret Chapple, William Kennedy, Heather Lombardo, Mark Neumann, and David Peling

EX OFFICIO MEMBERS PRESENT: Cheri Burke, Superintendent of Schools; Mark Fiorentino, First Selectman; Michael Guarco, Chairman, Board of Finance; Monica Logan, Chairman, Board of Education, and Mike Walsh, Town Manager

ABSENT: None

Chairman Kevin Hobson called the meeting of the Capital Program Priority Advisory Committee to order at 5:02 p.m.

1. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

2. MINUTES

2.I. Approval of CPPAC Meeting Minutes – November 24, 2025

ON A MOTION by M. Neumann, seconded by H. Lombardo, the Board voted (6-0-1) to approve the minutes of November 24, 2025, as presented. W. Kennedy abstained.

3. PUBLIC COMMENT

The following residents spoke in favor of the proposed project to reconstruct the existing baseball field at the high school to a new all-weather turf facility.
Chris Perry, 7 Brianwood Lane, Granby
Greg Dion, 62 Silver Brook Lane, North Granby
Mike Dombrowski, 7 Farmview Lane, North Granby

4. COMMUNICATIONS

Town Manager Mike Walsh reviewed several documents related to the Town's capital project requests and addressed questions from the committee.

4.I. December 31, 2025, Memo – Transmittal of Updated & New Capital Project Information

4.I.1. Board of Selectmen December 10, 2025, Memo on CIP Amendments
The memo outlines the refinements made to the line items for the Pump Station Maintenance project ($1.5 million) and the Salmon Brook Park Pickleball Courts and Bathroom ($500,000) on the Capital Improvement Plan approved by the Board of Selectmen in December.

4.I.2. Amended 10-Year Capital Improvement Plan
The updated plan includes the changes to the Pump Station Maintenance project and the Salmon Brook Park Pickleball Courts and Bathroom approved by the Board of Selectmen.

4.I.3. "Short List" of Town Capital Items with Requested Bonding Highlighted
The single page report highlights the three major project proposals by the Town including the pump station maintenance at $1.5 million, the library renovation at $7 million and the pickleball courts and bathroom at Salmon Brook Park for $500,000.

4.I.4. Board of Selectmen, Board of Finance, Board of Education, and CPPAC December 31, 2025, Memo on Bonding Timeline and Narrative – Illustrative Outline
The memo provides an illustrative outline of possible bonding timelines and the supporting narrative explaining the process. Emphasizing that significant pre-planning is needed to execute the construction of a project, the underwriting and sale of the bonds and to budget debt service.

4.I.5. 12 Pages of Individual and Combined Debt Service Modeling
A variety of bond amortizations for each proposed project and also in total were provided for review by the committee.

4.I.6. A Two-Page "Crosswalk" Detailing Stabilized Debt Service (in lieu of volatile Debt Service)
The Crosswalk re-amortizes the models to reflect how the Town issues bonds showing interest only payments for the first two years and a reduction of principal and interest for the last 18 years. The document illustrates that the Town can maintain level debt service through the middle of 2037 with a $13.5 million bond issuance. This also assumes the Town receives $1 million of bond premium to the debt service fund.

4.I.7. A Six-Page CIP Policy Discussion Focusing on Town Bond Requests
The document includes the background, description and estimates of the Pump Station Maintenance Project and the Pickleball Courts and Bathroom Project at Salmon Brook Park. The projects must be approved by the voters to become a lawful appropriation before further work can be done to scope the project and go out to bid.

4.I.8. Pictures and Backup Materials (pages 1-11) for Town Requested Bonding Items

ON A MOTION by J. Adams, seconded by M. Neumann, the Board voted (7-0-0) to reorder the agenda to move Town - Capital Priority Recommendations before Board of Education - Capital Priority Recommendations under New Business.

5. NEW BUSINESS

5.I. Town – Capital Priority Recommendations

5.I.1. Sewer Pumps
The Town operates three sewer pumps (Salmon Brook Park, Route 10, and Route 189) that are approaching 50 years old. The pumps are functional, however in the last 12 months there have been two failures caused by the backup of nip bottles and grease from restaurants.

The Town secured three estimates for the maintenance which includes updated mechanicals and electricals, replacement parts and additional monitoring equipment which is needed to improve reliability and sustainability to extend the life of the existing pumps by an estimated 50 years or more. The estimated cost of the project including bond issuance costs is $1.5 million.

The Town is recommending a 20-year bond issuance with debt service paid out of the Sewer Utility Fund. The special revenue fund has a balance of $902K from sewer assessment fees paid by sewer users and is intended to pay for the needed maintenance work on the system or to support the debt service should bonds be issued. Given the size of the Sewer Utility Fund balance, debt service payments for the maintenance of the sewer pumps should not have any material impact on the future rates for sewer users.

5.I.2. Pickleball Courts/ SBP Public Bathroom Facility

The Town provides pickleball courts for use by residents on the existing tennis courts at Salmon Brook Park. Due to several noise complaints from the neighboring condominiums, noise abatement was considered. In the short term, noise reducing barriers have been purchased and will be installed at the tennis courts in the spring of 2026, however the permanent solution is to relocate the pickleball courts to an area in the park away from residential housing. The addition of standalone pickleball courts at Salmon Brook Park is strongly supported by residents, recommended by the Parks and Recreation Board, and was also identified as part of the GZA Parks Master Plan. The estimated cost of the project to construct four pickleball courts is $250,000.

The Town is recommending a 20-year bond issuance with debt service paid out of the Parks and Recreation Fund. The special revenue fund has a balance of $432K which is primarily funded by users of the parks system through program and rental fees and would pay for the courts or support the debt service if bonds are issued.

Secondly, the addition of a year-round restroom at the northern end of Salmon Brook Park is also strongly supported by the residents, recommended by the Parks & Recreation Board, and was also identified as part of the GZA Parks Master Plan. The estimated cost of the facility would be $250,000 and the Town is recommending a 20-year bond issuance which would also be supported by the Parks & Recreation Fund.

The committee discussed the recommendations put forward by the Town, clarifying that these two projects will be paid for through the Sewer Utility Fund and the Parks and Recreation Fund and will not impact the General Fund.

ON A MOTION by M. Neumann, seconded by W. Kennedy, the Board voted (7-0-0) to forward the Town Sewer Pump Project at $1.5 million and the Pickleball Courts and Bathroom Facility at Salmon Brook Park project at $500,000 as defined in the December 4, 2025, document from the Town Manager to the Board of Selectmen for consideration.

5.II. Board of Education – Capital Priority Recommendations

Chairman Monica Logan presented the capital project recommendations for the Board of Education emphasizing that the focus and direction of the BOE has changed based on information received during the CPPAC process.

5.II.1. High School Track

This project proposes the replacement of Stadium Field and Field Two at Granby Memorial High School to address structural failures, age, and safety concerns. The existing turf track and field system was originally installed in 2013 and has been carefully maintained. However, the significant subsurface failures cannot be resolved through surface repairs. These conditions have led to restrictions on use and pose ongoing risks to student-athletes, staff, and community members. Field Two does not have the same issues as Stadium Field, however it is the same age and resurfacing at this time is recommended. Completing both fields at the same time allows for a cost savings of $125,000.

The Board of Education is recommending a $6.1 million project to reconstruct Stadium Field and the track surface using a post-tension concrete system to

provide long-term structural stability. Additionally, the project would include the installation of new synthetic turf and track surfacing, improve drainage, fencing and associated site work as well as the installation of new, energy efficient LED light fixtures at Stadium Field and Field Two.

5.II.2. Middle School

As presented in prior CPPAC meetings, the Middle School was constructed in 1992 and most of the building's major systems are now over 30 years old. A Visual Facilities Condition Assessment conducted in May 2025 found that while the building remains functional, many of the mechanical systems have exceeded their useful life and require upgrades to ensure continued safe and effective operation. However, acknowledging that these renovations will need to be completed in the future, the Board of Education does not feel they currently have enough information to evaluate the project in order to properly maximize potential reimbursements through the state, minimize disruptions to students and staff and to avoid building code issues. Therefore, at this time the BOE has scaled back the project and is requesting $1.75 million for a comprehensive facilities assessment and to address immediate repairs.

The Board of Education is also recommending a project for approximately $3.4 million (plus an 8%-10% contingency and $750,000 for LED light fixtures) to reconstruct the baseball field at the high school into a new, all-weather synthetic turf facility. The field is in very poor condition due to overuse and the lack of irrigation, and the natural grass has become difficult for staff to maintain. The surrounding area including dugouts, bleachers, fencing and walkways also need to be improved or replaced. These additional elements may be added to the project depending on the final scope and funding. The goal of the project is to create a durable, multi-use athletic venue that increases field availability, improves safety and playability, and reduces weather related disruptions and long-term maintenance costs.

Discussion of the Board of Education capital priority recommendations will continue at the next meeting of the Capital Program Priority Advisory Committee.

Reports, photos, plans and backup materials for the projects discussed can be found as part of the CPPAC agenda packet on the Town website.

6. ADJOURNMENT

ON A MOTION by J. Adams, seconded by M. Neumann, the Board voted (7-0-0) to adjourn the meeting at 6:51 p.m.

The next meeting of the Capital Program Priority Advisory Committee will be held on Monday, January 26, 2026, at 5:00 p.m. in the Town Hall Meeting Room.

Respectfully submitted,

Betsy Mazzotta
Recording Secretary

January 23, 2026

To ensure our upcoming CPPAC meeting is as productive as possible, we have provided answers to your preliminary list of questions below in **GREEN.**

Chris Hulk, Regional Vice President for New England from Turf Field, will be joining the meeting to provide further information and details for the turf projects proposed for GMHS.

General / All Projects

Budgeting: Do the most recent request figures include contingencies and/or project management fees?

Please see this pricing summary for the field projects. We do not have a comprehensive project developed for GMHS, so this is not available at this time.

Recommended Contingency to be added: 8%–10% for design, general conditions, and construction to account for unknown site conditions. This does not include project management.

***Football& Track Field**

***Stadium Field includes: boys/girls soccer, boys/girls lacrosse, ultimate frisbee, football, fieldhockey, boys/girls track&field, cheerleading, and unified sports)**
Full Site: Visual of all fields proposed.

LED Lighting: We've discussed potential ROI within 3–5 years. Please be prepared to provide 2024–2025 high school energy costs (broken down by quarter). Are the lights primarily utilized during Q2 and Q3?

With regard to the athletic lights, we do receive a separate electric bill for those lights. We keep track of the electricity data usage, and in reviewing the data, the most expensive months are September through December and then April to June. The following is the TOTAL annual usage of those lights, both supply and delivery.

FY 2025 - $17,940
FY 2024- $15,540
FY 2023 - $17,120
FY 2022 - $16,020

The four-year average is $16,655.

Safety Netting: This was included in the original quote—what were the considerations for its removal in the latest request?

This was suggested as an add-on, it is not there because we are keeping costs down. The only "add-on" requested is LED lights. We put this as a separate line item just because of the costs. However, it is strongly recommended by the Field Turf professional that by adding netting, the track and field can be used at the same time.

Exclusions: Please be prepared to review the FieldTurf "project exclusions" list with the committee to discuss potential impacts.

EXCLUSIONS:

➢ Any costs associated with necessary charges relating to the delineation of the field

➢ The supply of manholes or clean-outs or grates, or the supply of the manhole covers outside of the field area

➢ Any alteration or deviation from specifications involving extra costs, which alteration or deviation will be provided only upon executed change orders, and will become an extra charge over and above the offered price

➢ Soil stabilization or remediation of any type

➢ Rock excavation or ledge removal

➢ Offsite disposal of generated spoils other than topsoil

➢ Taxes

➢ Excavation or disposal of unsuitable or contaminated soils

➢ Site security, including security fencing

➢ Once subgrade has been established, a proof roll will be performed to ensure structural stability of the soils; if unsuitable soils are encountered, a price to remedy these areas can be negotiated based on recommended methods per the project Engineer

➢ Testing or Inspection Fees

➢ Site restoration, sodding, landscaping, or grow- in beyond disturbed areas

➢ Repair or resurfacing existing asphalt parking lot if damaged by truck traffic

➢ Bond fees and non-local permits

Baseball & Softball Fields (and multipurpose outfield for soccer, lacrosse, and field hockey as needed)

Scope & Design: Please provide site plans, location for the multi-purpose field, and details on expected student population usage.

Please see the project proposal linked here. We can not go to RFP for a true project rendering until a vote is passed.

Considering field use for Junior Varsity and Varsity teams for baseball and softball, and additional use for soccer and other sports for Freshman games, we anticipate 234 student athletes to use the stadium field and the baseball/multipurpose field each year over the life of the field .(including boys/girls soccer,

boys/girls lacrosse, ultimate frisbee, football, fieldhockey, boys/girls track&field, cheerleading and unified sports)

In addition, there is interest from Rovers, Little League, and men/women's recreational leagues to use the fields.

We have an overall rendering in 2D for the site included in the project proposal linked here.

If we engage in an initial 'pre-construction' / design agreement with Field Turf, we can include 3D renderings of the fields. That cost would be approximately $29.5k and is attached as a CREC Co Op Proposal. An example of a 3D rendering can be viewed here.

Field Composition: What were the considerations regarding upgrading current fields versus new construction? Was a hybrid option (dirt infield/turf outfield) evaluated? Is there a comparative cost to revive the current fields with new infields and dugouts?

The typical cost to do a new turf field versus renovating a grass field properly is about 20% more.

The main driving factor used by industry professionals is the cost per hour of use. Grass fields are intended to be used 10-12 hours per week so that they can be maintained and preserved at a maintainable level. As indicated in the 2008 report (section 1, section 2, section 3), this is not possible with the needs of our current baseball field.

A turf field, especially with lights, allows 40+ hours of use a week. This then opens it up to use by Granby town teams, club teams, adult leagues, etc, that are not provided access to our grass fields now. So the cost per hour of use goes down to about 1/4 of the cost of a grass field when you do the life span analysis.

Clay fields are not recommended. Reports from districts that have clay are dissatisfied due to tracking and marks in the field that look terrible and are very hard to repair.

(Note: Connecticut Interscholastic Athletic Conference) Data and regional athletic trends suggest that approximately 10% to 15% of Connecticut high school baseball fields are currently synthetic turf.)

We can not find this data point confirmed anywhere. In speaking with both Harford area superintendents and turf field professionals, they report a significant increase in the number of baseball fields being done in the last couple of years. This is due to the short spring season for spring sports and the negative impact of New England weather. The turf field would allow year-long use with no rest.

We are currently gathering data from athletic directors across the state for accurate information on the number of turf fields. Glastonbury public schools just compiled a list for their project proposal and reported to the Glastonbury Board of Education that 28 out of 31 of the districts in their conference have at least one turf playing field.

Environmental Impact: Are there any known environmental impacts of installing a new turf field?

There is no impact. CTDEEP has various findings right on their website. Water coming from the field is filtered through the stone base and typically comes out cleaner from the site than what is found prior to installing turf. All FieldTurf materials will be PFAS-free starting in '26. We are preparing a more comprehensive package on turf fields for public consumption in the near future.

Add-Ons & Maintenance: Are there "extras" (scoreboards, lights, fencing) required that aren't in the base quote? Additionally, what are, if any, the recurring maintenance costs for these fields?

Turf Field Co. provides the equipment and training for Granby Public Schools staff to complete maintenance independently. There are also options to have a service plan where Field Turf would provide professional services, which is approximately$3,500 per year per field. For multiple fields, we would receive a discount, so the overall cost would be approximately $8,500 per year.

Existing Equipment: Does the quote include the grandstand seating shown in the overview? Regarding the scoreboard, was a new one considered, or is the current one reusable?

Nothing new is included; these are all optional add-ons. The base price is generally rebuilding the field... fence line and inside. Everything outside of that would be an add-on. We try to structure the pricing as "need to have" versus "nice to have".

Timeline & Exclusions:

Exclusions are answered above. The full report can be viewed here.

What is the estimated completion timeline?

From Field Turf professionals: “Generally, each field would be 3-4 months. However, with various fields on one side, we can move crews from one field to the next in a staggered manner to get that timeframe down. We would suggest starting the Stadium Field in May, so it is complete for mid-August. The second field would be replaced after school is over, so you have facilities available during the full year. And then baseball would be done in the late summer /fall. The full project would be May - Early December.

GMMS

Architectural Fees: Can you walk the committee through the methodology used to arrive at the $1.75M quote *for architectural design*? If this includes repairs, please outline.

In our previous CPPAC Discussion Memo (linked here) We state:

“Specific HVAC assessment will be complete in April of 2026. In addition, the Board of Education will contract for a comprehensive Facilities Conditions Assessment to determine priorities and engage in architectural plans for future consideration.” **This is now scheduled for February 17th and 18th.**

“In reliance upon previously done repairs and the visual assessment, the estimate at this time to conduct **necessary repairs** and engage with an architectural design firm is $1.75 Million.”

Terracon Estimate: The report identifies $3.1M in total replacement costs over 10 years, with $1.69M labeled as "immediate." Is the current recommendation to defer all of these items? If so, please be prepared to discuss the rationale.

As we have shared previously, a *visual* inspection of GMMS was done as an initial look at the building. We have now completed the necessary ed specifications meetings, and that report is being compiled now. We have an HVAC inspection being conducted over the April school break, and we have a Full Facilities Conditions Assessment being conducted over the long Presidents' weekend in February. There is a comprehensive enrollment study being presented at the February 18th BOE meeting.

These final reports are essential to provide the necessary information to inform any projects- repairs or renovations to GMMS.


Granby Public Library
THE NEXT CHAPTER

Fundraising: Overview

Our Vision

We are pursuing a much needed expansion and renovation of the Granby Public Library to better serve our community for decades to come.

Our Approach

While we are pursuing grants and a municipal bond to fund the core construction, community donations will ensure the space is welcoming, well-equipped, and truly reflective of Granby.

Granby Public Library

Campaign Cabinet & Advisors



Cabinet Members

Lauren Charamut: Chair/Project Manager

Lynn Guelzow: Vice Chair, GLB, Friends

Judy Guarco: GLB Chairman, Friends

Stacey Konopaske: Friends Treasurer

Brynne Geiselman: GLA



Advisors

Catherine Watso: GLB

Amber Wyzik: Director of Library Services

Granby Public Library

Fundraising: Agency Selection

The cabinet reviewed proposals from three agencies for this capital campaign:

- Cervitude
- 3DH Labs
- Aperio

We held meetings with top two agencies to further narrow down our selection.

3DH Labs was selected after negotiations.

Granby Public Library

Strategic Campaign Timeline & Movement

On Boarding
Jan – March

3DH Project Onboarding, Stakeholder Engagement, Resident Interviews, 1-Pager & FAQs, Community Insights Report

Campaign Messaging
March – April

Market Research & Analysis, Campaign Framework, Build Full Campaign Message

Microsite
April – May

Design and launch of the digital campaign hub for donor information and engagement.

Major Donor Strategy
May

Build Out Major Donor Strategy, Donor Recognition.

Volunteer Training
June

Mobilizing and equipping campaign ambassadors with necessary tools and messaging.

Quiet Phase
June – October

Major Donors focus: Strategic cultivation and solicitation.

Public Phase
October – Goal Met

All Donors: Broad community outreach and final drive to achieve total fundraising objective.

Granby Public Library

Fundraising - Phase One

This initial fundraising phase allows us to demonstrate **strong community support**—which **strengthens our grant and bond applications.**

Granby Public Library

Public funding builds the structure. Community Support helps bring it to life.

Granby Public Library

Fundraising Goal: Private Support

$2M

Private Support Goal

The campaign seeks to raise $2 million in private support- an amount confirmed as achievable through a recently completed feasibility study- in addition to bond and grant funds, helping to fund an overall **$12 million** library expansion and renovation.

Community Donations will Help Support:

- Furnishing & Shelving
- Quiet Study Rooms
- Sustainability or Accessibility Features
- Special Children's Room Features
- Art Design & Welcoming Features



Granby Public Library

Fundraising Goal: Grants

$2-3M

Grant Support Goal

The Library Director is actively pursuing grants which, along with the municipal bond, are expected to cover a significant portion of the total.

To attract grant funding, we must first define the project—funders need to see exactly what they are supporting.

Community Enhancement

Community donations will ensure the final project is fully realized and enhanced.



Granby Public Library

Fundraising Goal: Grants

PROJECT OPTION 1

Municipal Bond: $7 Million

Possible Grants: $2 - 3 Million

Fundraising Goal $2 Million

Comprehensive Goal $12 Million



PROJECT OPTION 2

Municipal Bond: $4 Million

Possible Grants: $2 - 3 Million

Fundraising Goal $2 Million

Comprehensive Goal $7 Million



Granby Public Library

$12 Million ($7 million bond & grants/fundraising)







Current Library Footprint

$7 Million ($4 million bond & grants/fundraising)





Current Library Footprint

THE NEXT CHAPTER



Granby Public Library

Granby Education Foundation

GEF Teen Room
Committed $100,000

if project moves forward

- Supports the creation of a dedicated Teen Space at the Library to meet the educational, social and creative needs of students in grades 6-12
  - Provides a welcoming, age appropriate space
  - Includes flexible study areas, collaborative workspaces and empowering technology
  - Promotes positive youth development through community involvement and leadership opportunities



Granby Public Library

American Library Association

Libraries Transforming Communities

Pending: $20,000

- To increase the accessibility of facilities, services, and programs to better serve people with disabilities
  - Future furniture & technology
  - Support these soft costs



Granby Public Library

James M. Cox Foundation

Children's Room

In Process: $250,000 - $500,000

- Letter of Inquiry: **COMPLETE**
- Initial Interview: **COMPLETE**
- May 2026: Pre-Application Review with Board
- June 2026: Complete proposals due
- October 31: Awardees notified



Granby Public Library

CT State Library

Construction Grant

Planning Stages: $2 Million

- Funds ½ of total project costs up to $2 million
- Timeline:
  - June 30: Letter of Intent due
  - September 1: Completed proposals due
  - November: Awardees notified
  - Jan/Feb: State bond commission approval *if library has local funding in place*



- *By 3 years after approval- library must have local funding*
- *By 18 months following bond commission approval- project must be initiated- signed with contractor*
- *By 5 years after issuance of grant- project must be completed- all payment requests submitted*

Granby Public Library

PURA - PEGPETIA

Educational Technology Initiative

Planning Stages: $25,000

- Increase computer workstation to meet state standards
  - Addition of 5 workstations to meet enhanced standard of 13
  - Addition of 12 workstation to meet exemplary standard of 20
  - *currently we have 8 public computer workstations*

- November: Proposals due
- June 2027: Awards announced



Granby Public Library

Grant Pipeline

Organization	Grant Project	Amount	Status
Granby Education Foundation	GEF Teen Room	**$100,000**	COMMITTED
American Library Association	Transforming Communities	**$20,000**	PENDING
James M. Cox Foundation	Children's Room	**$250,000 - $500,000**	IN PROCESS
CT State Library	Construction Grant	**$2,000,000**	PLANNING
PURA – PEGPETIA	Educational Technology	**$25,000**	PLANNING

Granby Public Library

Letters of Inquiry

Letters of Inquiry

- Peter Alfond
- Andrew Family Foundation
- Gladys Brooks Foundation
- Cheryl Chase & Stuart Bear Family Foundation
- Sandra and Arnold Chase Family Foundation
- Ensign Bickford (EBI) Foundation
- SBM Charitable Foundation
- Robert and Margaret Patricelli Family Foundations - *Adult Services*

Coming Soon…

Coming Soon

- NEAG Foundation (June)
- The Stocker Foundation (September)
- Travelers Foundation and Corporate Giving Program
- Winslow Family Foundation
- ARSL Sustainable & Resilient New England Libraries (February)
  - *Association for Rural and Small Libraries*
- AARP Community Challenge (March)

Questions?

Thank you for your commitment to Granby's Future.

Granby Public Library

BONDING CAPACITY
$15M at 3.5%

	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034
Existing Debt	1,731	1,234	1,198	1,136	1,098	1,065	1,034	998	514
New Debt	0	0	0	321	993	1,329	1,300	1,271	1,242
Feed Into CNEF	105	602	638	379	0	0	0	0	0
Use of CNEF	0	0	0	0	(255)	(558)	(498)	(433)	0
Net Debt Service	**1,836**	**1,836**	**1,836**	**1,836**	**1,836**	**1,836**	**1,836**	**1,836**	**1,756**